

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)
Chief, Criminal Division

ANN MARIE E. URSPINI (CABN 269131)
Special Assistant United States Attorney
150 South Almaden Boulevard, Suite 900
San Jose, California 95113
Telephone: (408) 535-5037
Facsimile: (408) 535-5066
annmarie.ursini@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) No. CR 12-mj-70951 HRL
Plaintiff,) STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
v.) ORDER EXTENDING TIME FOR
JOSE ALBERTO CENTURION-CRUZ,) PRELIMINARY HEARING AND
Defendant.) EXCLUDING TIME FROM SPEEDY
TRIAL ACT CALCULATION

The Parties, Jose Alberto Centurion-Cruz, and the United States, acting through
respective counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

1. The defendant is presently charged by criminal complain for a violation of 18 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B), Possession of Child Pornography.
2. Currently, the preliminary hearing is set for November 26, 2012, at 1:30p.m., before Magistrate Howard R. Lloyd.
3. The United States has provided discovery materials to defense counsel, who requires additional time to review those materials, meet with the defendant to discuss them, and determine how to proceed.
4. The parties jointly request, subject to the Court's approval, that the hearing currently set

1 for November 26, 2012 at 1:30p.m. be vacated, and that the hearing be re-set for January 28,
2 2013 at 1:30p.m.

3 5. Defendant Jose Alberto Centurion-Cruz consents to an extension of time for the
4 preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1(c) and (d) and the
5 exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, from November 26, 2012, to
6 January 26, 2013

7

8 STIPULATED:

9

10 DATED: November 21, 2012

MELINDA HAAG
United States Attorney

11

12 /s/ _____
13 ANN MARIE URSPINI
Special Assistant United States Attorney

14

15 /s/ _____
16 ROBERT CARLIN
Attorney for Defendant

1

2 **[PROPOSED] ORDER**

3

4 Based upon the stipulation of the parties, the Court finds and holds as follows:

5

6 1. The defendant is presently charged by criminal complaint for a violation of 18
7 U.S.C. § 2252(a)(4)(B).

8

9 2. With the defendant's consent, the twenty-one day time limit for a preliminary
10 hearing or indictment under F.R.Crim.P. 5.1(C) I extended, and time is excluded from
11 calculation of the thirty-day time limit for information or indictment under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).
12 The United States has provided materials to defense counsel and counsel needs additional time to
13 review those materials, meet with the defendant and determine how to proceed. Accordingly, the
14 extension and exclusion are required for effective preparation fo defense counsel.

15 3. There is good cause for the extension under F.R.Crim.P. 5.1, and the exclusion
16 under 18 U.S.C. § 3161, and that the ends of justice served by granting this continuance outweigh
17 the best interests of the public and of the defendant in a speedy trial and the prompt disposition of
18 criminal cases. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A). The Court further finds that failure to grant the
19 continuance would deny counsel for all parties reasonable time necessary for effective
20 preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence under 18 U.S.C. §
21 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

22 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of the defendant, the Court sets a date and orders
23 that the period from November 26, 2012 to January 28, 2013 be excluded from the time period
24 for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial
25 Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A) & (B)(iv).

26 IT IS SO ORDERED.

27 DATED: November 26, 2012

28 
PAUL S. GREWAL
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE